

# Lambda Calculus

Isaac Elliott

April 30, 2019

What is computation?

# What is computation?

State-transition systems

- ▶ Finite state machines

# What is computation?

State-transition systems

- ▶ Finite state machines
- ▶ Cellular automata

# What is computation?

State-transition systems

- ▶ Finite state machines
- ▶ Cellular automata
- ▶ Turing machines

# What is computation?

State-transition systems

Computation = state changing (according to some rules) over time?

# What is computation?

State-transition systems

$$f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$$

$$f(1)$$

4

$\lambda$ -calculus



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

Three expression forms:

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

Three expression forms:

1.  $\lambda x. e$  (abstraction)

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

Three expression forms:

1.  $\lambda x. e$  (abstraction)
2.  $a b$  (application - nests to the left -  $a b c$  is  $(a b) c$ )

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

Three expression forms:

1.  $\lambda x. e$  (abstraction)
2.  $a b$  (application - nests to the left -  $a b c$  is  $(a b) c$ )
3.  $x$  (variable)

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

$$\blacktriangleright x[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow a$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

▶  $x[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow a$

▶  $y[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow y$  (given  $y \neq x$ )

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

- ▶  $x[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow a$
- ▶  $y[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow y$  (given  $y \neq x$ )
- ▶  $(m\ n)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow m[x \mapsto a]\ n[x \mapsto a]$



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

- ▶  $x[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow a$
- ▶  $y[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow y$  (given  $y \neq x$ )
- ▶  $(m\ n)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow m[x \mapsto a]\ n[x \mapsto a]$
- ▶  $(\lambda x. e)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow \lambda x. e$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A syntactic operation (substitution)

- ▶  $x[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow a$
- ▶  $y[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow y$  (given  $y \neq x$ )
- ▶  $(m\ n)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow m[x \mapsto a]\ n[x \mapsto a]$
- ▶  $(\lambda x. e)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow \lambda x. e$
- ▶  $(\lambda y. e)[x \mapsto a] \rightsquigarrow \lambda y. e[x \mapsto a]$  (given  $y \neq x$ )

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A reduction rule (beta reduction)

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Definition

A reduction rule (beta reduction)

$$\blacktriangleright (\lambda x.e) a \rightsquigarrow e[x \mapsto a]$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

▶  $\lambda x. x$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

▶  $\lambda x. x$

▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a b$



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

- ▶  $\lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a b$
- ▶  $\lambda m. \lambda n. m o$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

- ▶  $\lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a b$
- ▶  $\lambda m. \lambda n. m o$

Substitution can only affect free variables

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

- ▶  $\lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a b$
- ▶  $\lambda m. \lambda n. m o$

Substitution can only affect free variables

- ▶  $x[x \mapsto y] \rightsquigarrow y$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Bound variable - a variable that has been abstracted over by a lambda

Free variable - a variable that is not bound

- ▶  $\lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a b$
- ▶  $\lambda m. \lambda n. m o$

Substitution can only affect free variables

- ▶  $x[x \mapsto y] \rightsquigarrow y$
- ▶  $(\lambda x. x)[x \mapsto y] \rightsquigarrow \lambda x. x$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Beta redex - beta red(ucible) ex(pression)

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Beta redex - beta red(ucible) ex(pression)

▶  $(\lambda a. a) (\lambda b. b)$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Beta redex - beta red(ucible) ex(pression)

- ▶  $(\lambda a. a) (\lambda b. b)$
- ▶  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x) a$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Beta redex - beta red(ucible) ex(pression)

- ▶  $(\lambda a. a) (\lambda b. b)$
- ▶  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x) a$
- ▶  $(\lambda m. x) b$



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Value - anything that's not a beta redex

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Value - anything that's not a beta redex

▶  $a$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Value - anything that's not a beta redex

- ▶  $a$
- ▶  $\lambda x. x$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Value - anything that's not a beta redex

- ▶  $a$
- ▶  $\lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda a. \lambda b. (\lambda x. x) a$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Terminology

Evaluate - to reduce to a value

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$(\lambda x. x) a$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. x) a \\ & x[x \mapsto a] \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{array}{l} (\lambda x. x) a \\ x[x \mapsto a] \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array}$$



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$(\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b)$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b) \\ & (f x)[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b) \\ & (f x)[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & f[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] x[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b) \\ & (f x)[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & f[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] x[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & (\lambda b. b) x \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b) \\ & (f x)[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & f[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] x[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & (\lambda b. b) x \\ & \mathbf{b[b \mapsto x]} \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. f x) (\lambda b. b) \\ & (f x)[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & f[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] x[f \mapsto \lambda b. b] \\ & (\lambda b. b) x \\ & b[b \mapsto x] \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

Currying

Maths

$\lambda$ -calculus

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Currying

Maths	$\lambda$ -calculus
$f(x) = \dots$	$f = \lambda x. \dots$



# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Currying

Maths	$\lambda$ -calculus
$f(x) = \dots$	$f = \lambda x. \dots$
$g(x, y) = \dots$	$g = \lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

## Currying

Maths	$\lambda$ -calculus
$f(x) = \dots$	$f = \lambda x. \dots$
$g(x, y) = \dots$	$g = \lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$
$g(a, b)$	$g\ a\ b$

# $\lambda$ -calculus

How does  $\lambda$ -calculus compare to Turing machines in terms of computability?

# $\lambda$ -calculus

How does  $\lambda$ -calculus compare to Turing machines in terms of computability?

- ▶ They are equivalent (Church-Turing thesis)

# Church Encoding

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

Boolean values

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

Boolean values

▶ `false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

Boolean values

- ▶ `false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- ▶ `true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$



# Church Encoding

## Booleans

*not* = ?

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

~~$$\text{not}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \mapsto \text{false} \\ \text{false} & \mapsto \text{true} \end{cases}$$~~

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

*not* = ?

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$`

`true =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$`

`not =  $\lambda b. b \text{ true false}$`

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

*not true*

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

*not true*

`(λb. b true false) true`

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true  
(λb. b true false) true  
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

*not* true  
( $\lambda b. b$  true false) true  
( $b$  true false)[ $b \mapsto$  true]  
**true true false**



# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true
(λb. b true false) true
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
true true false
(λx. λy. y) true false
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true
(λb. b true false) true
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
  true true false
(λx. λy. y) true false
(λy. y)[x ↦ true] false
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true
(λb. b true false) true
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
  true true false
(λx. λy. y) true false
(λy. y)[x ↦ true] false
(λy. y) false
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true
(λb. b true false) true
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
  true true false
(λx. λy. y) true false
(λy. y)[x ↦ true] false
  (λy. y) false
  y[y ↦ false]
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

```
not true
(λb. b true false) true
(b true false)[b ↦ true]
true true false
(λx. λy. y) true false
(λy. y)[x ↦ true] false
(λy. y) false
y[y ↦ false]
false
```

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

*and* = ?

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

`and` =  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a \text{ false } b$

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

`and` =  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a \text{ false } b$

Exercises:



# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

`and` =  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a \text{ false } b$

Exercises:

▶ `or` = ?

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

`and` =  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a \text{ false } b$

Exercises:

▶ `or` = ?

▶ `iff` = ?

# Church Encoding

## Booleans

`false` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$

`true` =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$

`and` =  $\lambda a. \lambda b. a \text{ false } b$

Exercises:

- ▶ `or` = ?
- ▶ `iff` = ?
- ▶ `xor` = ?

# Church Encoding

Booleans

Booleans embody *choice*

# Church Encoding

Pairs

Pairs

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

### Pairs

▶  $\langle a, b \rangle = \lambda f. f a b$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \lambda f. f a b$$

$$\text{fst} = ?$$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \lambda f. f a b$$

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$



# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$
$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$
$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$
$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

Exercises:

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$

$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

Exercises:

►  $\text{swap} = ? \quad (\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle b, a \rangle)$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$

$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

Exercises:

- ▶  $\text{swap} = ?$  ( $\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle b, a \rangle$ )
- ▶  $\langle a, b, c \rangle = ?$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

$$\text{fst} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$

$$\text{snd} = \lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

Exercises:

- ▶  $\text{swap} = ?$      $(\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle b, a \rangle)$
- ▶  $\langle a, b, c \rangle = ?$
- ▶  $\text{2to3} = ?$      $(\langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, b, c \rangle)$

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

`false =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$`

`true =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$`

`fst =  $\lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$`

`snd =  $\lambda p. p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$`

# Church Encoding

## Pairs

`false =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$`

`true =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$`

`fst =  $\lambda p. p \text{ false}$`

`snd =  $\lambda p. p \text{ true}$`



# Church Encoding

## Pairs

`false =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$`

`true =  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$`

`fst =  $\lambda p. p \text{ false}$`

`snd =  $\lambda p. p \text{ true}$`

You can *choose* whether you want the first or second element

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ x)$

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ x)$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ (f\ x))$

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ x)$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ (f\ x))$
- ▶ ...

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ x)$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ (f\ x))$
- ▶ ...

The natural number N is represented by N nestings of a function



# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

How do you count with functions?

- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ x$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ x)$
- ▶  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ (f\ x))$
- ▶ ...

The natural number  $N$  is represented by  $N$  nestings of a function

The essence of a natural number is *iteration*

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

- ▶  $\text{zero} = \lambda f. \lambda x. x$
- ▶  $\text{suc} = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)$

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

`suc zero`

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

`suc zero`

`(λn. λf. λx. f (n f x)) zero`

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

suc zero

$(\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)) \text{ zero}$

$(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x))[n \mapsto \text{zero}]$

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

suc zero

$(\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)) \text{ zero}$

$(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x))[n \mapsto \text{zero}]$

$\lambda f. \lambda x. f (\text{zero } f x)$

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

*add = ?*

# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

$$add = \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ suc } n$$



# Church Encoding

Natural numbers

$$add = \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ suc } n$$

Exercises:

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

$$add = \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ suc } n$$

Exercises:

- ▶  $mult = ?$  (iterated addition)

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

$$add = \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ suc } n$$

Exercises:

- ▶  $mult = ?$  (iterated addition)
- ▶  $exp = ?$  (iterated multiplication)

# Church Encoding

## Natural numbers

$$add = \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ suc } n$$

Exercises:

- ▶  $mult = ?$  (iterated addition)
- ▶  $exp = ?$  (iterated multiplication)
- ▶  $pred = ?$  (iterated uh... very difficult)

Repetition

# Repetition

Omega

$$\Omega = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

# Repetition

Omega

$$\Omega = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

$$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

# Repetition

Omega

$$\Omega = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

$$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

$$(x x)[x \mapsto \lambda x. x x]$$



# Repetition

Omega

$$\Omega = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

$$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

$$(x x)[x \mapsto \lambda x. x x]$$

$$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$Y g$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Y g \\ & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g \\ & ((\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)))[f \mapsto g] \end{aligned}$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Y g \\ & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g \\ & ((\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)))[f \mapsto g] \\ & (\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x)) \end{aligned}$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Y g \\ & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g \\ & ((\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)))[f \mapsto g] \\ & (\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x)) \\ & (g (x x))[x \mapsto \lambda x. g (x x)] \end{aligned}$$

# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Y g \\ & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g \\ & ((\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)))[f \mapsto g] \\ & (\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x)) \\ & (g (x x))[x \mapsto \lambda x. g (x x)] \\ & g ((\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x))) \end{aligned}$$



# Repetition

## The Y-combinator

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Y g \\ & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) g \\ & ((\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)))[f \mapsto g] \\ & (\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x)) \\ & (g (x x))[x \mapsto \lambda x. g (x x)] \\ & g ((\lambda x. g (x x)) (\lambda x. g (x x))) \end{aligned}$$

$$Y g \rightsquigarrow g(g(g(g(g \dots))))$$

# Repetition

## Ackermann function

$$A(m, n) = \begin{cases} m = 0 & \mapsto n + 1 \\ m > 0, n = 0 & \mapsto A(m - 1, 1) \\ m > 0, n > 0 & \mapsto A(m - 1, A(m, n - 1)) \end{cases}$$

# Repetition

Ackermann function

*pred* = ...

*is0* =  $\lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$

# Repetition

Ackermann function

$$A =$$
$$Y \ (\lambda f. \lambda m. \lambda n.$$
$$is0 \ m$$
$$\ (is0 \ n$$
$$\ \ (f \ (pred \ m) \ (f \ m \ (pred \ n)))$$
$$\ \ (f \ (pred \ m) \ (suc \ zero)))$$
$$\ (suc \ n))$$

# Combinator Calculus

# Combinator Calculus

Four expression forms:

# Combinator Calculus

Four expression forms:

- ▶  $a b$  (application - nests to the left)

# Combinator Calculus

Four expression forms:

- ▶  $a b$  (application - nests to the left)
- ▶  $S$  (**S**ubstitution)



# Combinator Calculus

Four expression forms:

- ▶  $a b$  (application - nests to the left)
- ▶ S (**S**ubstitution)
- ▶ K (**K**onstant)

# Combinator Calculus

Four expression forms:

- ▶  $a b$  (application - nests to the left)
- ▶ S (**S**ubstitution)
- ▶ K (**K**onstant)
- ▶ I (**I**dentify)

# Combinator Calculus

Three reduction rules:

# Combinator Calculus

Three reduction rules:

▶  $S a b c \rightsquigarrow a c (b c)$

# Combinator Calculus

Three reduction rules:

▶  $S a b c \rightsquigarrow a c (b c)$

▶  $K a b \rightsquigarrow a$

# Combinator Calculus

Three reduction rules:

▶  $S a b c \rightsquigarrow a c (b c)$

▶  $K a b \rightsquigarrow a$

▶  $I a \rightsquigarrow a$

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$



# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶ SKI  $\rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶ SKI  $\rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$
  - ▶  $I \rightarrow \lambda a. a$

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$
  - ▶  $I \rightarrow \lambda a. a$
- ▶  $\lambda \rightarrow SKI$

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$
  - ▶  $I \rightarrow \lambda a. a$
- ▶  $\lambda \rightarrow SKI$ 
  - ▶ ???

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$
  - ▶  $I \rightarrow \lambda a. a$
- ▶  $\lambda \rightarrow SKI$ 
  - ▶ ???
  - ▶ Used to compile efficient  $\lambda$  programs

# Combinator Calculus

- ▶ Equivalent to  $\lambda$ -calculus, but without variable binding
- ▶  $SKI \rightarrow \lambda$ 
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda c. a c (b c)$
  - ▶  $K \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. a$
  - ▶  $I \rightarrow \lambda a. a$
- ▶  $\lambda \rightarrow SKI$ 
  - ▶ ???
  - ▶ Used to compile efficient  $\lambda$  programs
  - ▶ SKI is like 'machine code' for  $\lambda$

Practical  $\lambda$ ?

## Practical $\lambda$ ?

Is  $\lambda$ -calculus only a theoretical tool?



## Practical $\lambda$ ?

Is  $\lambda$ -calculus only a theoretical tool?

- ▶ No!

## Practical $\lambda$ ?

Is  $\lambda$ -calculus only a theoretical tool?

- ▶ No!
- ▶ Anonymous functions

# Practical $\lambda$ ?

Is  $\lambda$ -calculus only a theoretical tool?

- ▶ No!
- ▶ Anonymous functions
- ▶ Functional programming languages
  - ▶ Haskell
  - ▶ PureScript
  - ▶ Elm